CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUN U 1 2007

South Tower, Suite 3150 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 www.cblh.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

June 1, 2007

MATTER NUMBER:

70049-00002

RECIPIENT(S):	Fax No.:	PHONE No.:
Examiner Ramsey Refai	(571) 273-8300	
Group Art Unit 2143	·	
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office		

PR	OM:

John D. McConaghy

USER ID:

PHONE:

FAX:

(571) 273-8300

RE:

U.S. S.N. 10/017,923 - October 30, 2001

AGENDA FOR APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVEIW

NUMBER OF PAGES WITH COVER PAGE:

4

Message:	 	 	·

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT, THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU,

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL

Patent Docket: 70049-00002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re the Application of:

Group Art Unit: 2143

CHUNG, Keicy K.

Examiner: Refai, Ramsey

Serial No.: 10/017,923

Filed: October 30, 2001

For: READ-ONLY STORAGE DEVICE

HAVING NETWORK INTERFACE, A

SYSTEM INCLUDING THE DEVICE, AND A METHOD OF DISTRIBUTING FILES OVER A

NETWORK

Sent via Facsimile to:

571,273,8300

AGENDA FOR APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW

Dear Examiner Refai:

I am writing to confirm the in-person interview scheduled for June 18, 2007, at 3 PM concerning the above-encaptioned patent application, and to provide an agenda for the meeting.

The following individuals, exclusive of USPTO personnel, are anticipated to be in attendance:

David M. Morse, Applicant's Representative

Keicy K. Chung, Applicant

At the interview, we anticipate showing, but not demonstrating, an exemplar of a storage device which is constructed in accordance with the recitations of claim 1. We also anticipate presenting the arguments identified below with the aid of a white board for illustration purposes.

[] Not Agreed

[] Not Agreed

Patent Docket: 70049-00002 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Issues For Discussion

[] Discussed

[] Discussed

1) The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 132(a) of the amendment to paragraphs [0025] and [0028] for the addition of new matter will be raised. Documentation will be presented to establish that the term "direct attached storage" was a term of art and that each of the protocols listed in the present application, namely the IDE, ATA, SCSI, and IEEE 1394 protocols, were inherently known as forms of "direct attached storage" at the time the present application was filed.

[] Agreed

2) The re	jection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) of claim 7 over U.S. Patent No.
6,732,237 to Jacobs	et al. will be raised. This discussion will center around the
differences betweer	networked-based caching systems and caching that is performed
at the local storage	level according to claim 7. The Jacobs et al. reference discloses the
former, while the pr	esent application is directed toward the latter. We will discuss the
differences between	the Jacobs et al. system and the system of claim 7, focusing on
why they are suffici	ently different to warrant allowance of claim 7.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of claims 1, 4, 10, 11, and 14 over the Jacobs et al. reference will be raised to the extent any issues remain with these claims following the discussion of items 1 and 2 above. In order to highlight the differences between the combinations cited in the rejections and the claimed subject matter, and particularly the teachings of the Jacobs et al. reference, the commercial advantages presented by the claimed subject matter will be presented in the areas of

[] Agreed

2

Patent Docket: 70049-00002

computer boot processes and file protection systems, both of which are addressed in the specification as filed. It is anticipated that the commercial advantages will illustrate that the rejected claims are directed toward patentably distinct subject matter as compared to the cited combinations.

[] Discussed

[] Agreed

[] Not Agreed

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

DATE: June 1, 2007

David M. Morse

Reg. No. 50,505

Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP Wells Fargo Center South Tower, Suite 3150 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 787-2500